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#### Abstract

Effective use of machines and manpower is essential in manufacturing of apparel products for ensuring high productivity investment from available resources in today's competitive business environment. It is thus crucial to establish optimal man-machine ratio to gain high resource utilization and profitable output.
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## INTRODUCTION

Apparel industry has an important place in the Indian economy, mainly due its contribution to export and creation of employment for millions of people. In spite of international competition, Indian apparel industry has been able to improve its export performance. The domestic market in India is growing at a steady pace. Studies from NIFT and Mckinsey have identified low productivity as one of the major stumbling block in improving the competitiveness of the industry.

The top fifteen exporting countries of apparel contributed to about $\%$ of the total apparel export. As we can see in the table 1, the top fifteen apparel-exporting nations including the developed countries and developing countries. China and Hong Kong (only for domestic export), Turkey with US\$ 13.6 billion worth exports topped among the western countries, followed by Bangladesh (US10.9\$), India (US\$ 10.9).

As regards worlds top fifteen importing countries of apparel, European union toped with US\$ 177.7 billion worth imports in the year 2008. as shown in the table united states, Japan, Russian federation, Hong Kong, china are followed from EU.

Table 1

| Leading Exporters and Importers of Clothing, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exporters | Value | Share in World <br> Exports/Imports | Annal Percentage <br> Change |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
|  | 120.0 | 18.2 | 33.2 | 16 | 21 | 4 |
| European Union (27) | 112.4 | 28.4 | 31.1 | 9 | 15 | 7 |
| extra-EU (27) exports | 27.7 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 10 | 18 | 12 |
| Hong Kong, China | 27.9 | - | - | 2 | 1 | -3 |
| domestic exports | 2.9 | 5.0 | 0.8 | -14 | -26 | -42 |
| re-exports | 25.0 | - | - | 7 | 10 | 5 |
| Turkey | 13.6 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 10 | 15 | -2 |
| Bangladesh b | 10.9 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 10 | 6 | 23 |
| India | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |


| Table 1: Contd., |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Viet Nam b | 9.0 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 22 | 33 | 21 |
| Indonesia | 6.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Mexico a | 4.9 | 4.4 | 1.4 | -7 | -19 | -5 |
| United States | 4.4 | 4.4 | 1.2 | -8 | -12 | 3 |
| Thailand | 4.2 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2 | -4 | 4 |
| Pakistan | 3.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 8 | -3 | 3 |
| Tunisia | 3.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 7 | 18 | 5 |
| Cambodia b | 3.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 18 | 39 | 4 |
| Malaysia a | 3.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6 | 11 | 15 |
| Above 15 | 314.4 | 78.4 | 86.9 | - | - | - |
| Importers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European Union (27) | 177.7 | 39.8 | 47.3 | 10 | 14 | 8 |
| extra-EU (27) imports | 93.1 | 19.2 | 24.8 | 11 | 14 | 10 |
| United States | 82.5 | 32.1 | 22.0 | 3 | 2 | -3 |
| Japan | 25.9 | 9.4 | 6.9 | 3 | 1 | 8 |
| Russian Federation b | 21.4 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 30 | 79 | 48 |
| Hong Kong, China | 18.5 | - | - | 2 | 2 | -3 |
| retained imports | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Canada c | 8.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 11 | 12 | 8 |
| Switzerland | 5.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 8 | 11 | 12 |
| United Arab Emirates b | 5.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 27 | 64 | 10 |
| Australia c | 4.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 11 | 13 | 16 |
| Korea, Republic of | 4.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 16 | 15 | -2 |
| Norway | 2.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 10 | 16 | 19 |
| Mexico a, c | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 | -4 | -2 | 3 |
| China a | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 8 | 15 | 15 |
| Singapore | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 2 | -3 | -8 |
| retained imports | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 6 | 16 | 2 |
| Turkey | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 30 | 43 | 41 |
| Above 15 d | 347.8 | 91.8 | 92.6 | - | - | - |
| a Includes significant shipments through processing zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b Includes Secretariat estimates. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Imports are valued f.o.b. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d Excludes retained imports of Hong Kong, China. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: International trade statistics 2008, WTO
As we can see the comparison is made for few products where we have considered the same. I am going to compare the products named cotton trouser for women rank-25, knit shirt for women- rank-22, cotton trouser for men rank-17, MMf coats for women rank 13, MMF non knit shirts for men rank-8, other cotton apparel rank-7, in this report based on man-machine ratio.

Table 2: Top Apparel Imports by the US: How India Ranks vis-à-vis its Neighbours

| Category Code | Description of Category | Country |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | China E | Bangladesh | Sri Lanka | Indial | Pakistan |
| 348 | Cotton trousers for women | Fank 5 China | Rank 8 Pakistan | Rank 17 Inclia | Pank 25 Sri Lanka | Rank 41 Bngladesh |
| 339 | Cotton knit shirts for women | Rank 10 | Rank 19 | Rank 21 | Rank 22 | Rank 35 |
|  |  | Bangladesh | China | Sri Lanka | Pakistan | India |
| 347 | Cotton trousers for men | Fank 5 | Rank 7 | Rank 12 | Pank 17 | Rank 19 |
|  |  | China | Sri Lanka | Bangladesh | India | Pakistan |
| 635 | MMF* coats for women | Pank 1 <br> Bangladesh | Rank 6 China | Rank 10 Sri Lanka | Rank 13 India | Rank 26 Pakistan |
| 359 | Other cotton apparel | Rank1 | Rank 2 | Rank 6 | Rank 7 | Pank 8 |
|  | MMF* non-knit shirts | China | India | Sri Lanka | Bangladesh | Pakistan |
| 641 | MMF* non-knit shirts for men | Rank 2 | Rank 5 | Rank 7 | Flank 8 | NA |
| Notes: | *MMF: man-made fibre: NA: Not applicable. <br> The countries have been ranked on the basis of their share in the total US import of apparel in |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: | Afice of US Textile and Appar |  |  |  |  |  |



Source: http://www.jstor.org/pss/4414918 Economic and political weekly April 2004

## Figure 1

Productivity is a measure of output from a production process, per unit of input. For example, labor productivity is typically measured as a ratio of output per labor-hour, an input. Productivity may be conceived of as a metric of the technical or engineering efficiency of production. As such, the emphasis is on quantitative metrics of input, and sometimes output.

Workforce productivity is the amount of goods and services that a laborer produces in a given amount of time. It is one of several types of productivity that economists measure. Labor productivity can be measured for a firm, a process or a country.

Measured labour productivity will vary as a function of both other input factors and the efficiency with which the factors of production are used (total factor productivity). So two firms or countries may have equal total factor productivity (productive technologies) but because one has more capital to use, labour productivity will be higher.

Output per worker corresponds to the "average product of labour" and can be contrasted with the marginal product of labour, which refers to the increase in output those results from a corresponding (marginal) increase in labour input

| Operator productivity | $=$ | volume of output/direct labor input (volume) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Example: operator productivity | $=$ | 2000 shirts per shift/100 operator |
|  | $=$ | 20 shirt per shift |

## Factors Affecting Productivity

- Level of technology
- Product style, price point and production volume
- Training of workforce and management
- Motivation level of workforce and management
- Awareness of optimal productivity level
- High rate of non-first quality production
- Labor turnover and absenteeism
- Production scale
- Lead time
- Industrial engineering
- Labor relations


## Production Quality per Year

This is the target production quantity per year when product specified in produce drawing is manufactured in accordance with the condition mentioned in this document.

## Work Days per Year

300 days are set for work days per year.

## Production Quantity per Shift

The production quantity per line X the number of lines X 1 shift = the production Quantity per shift.

## Formula 1

The production quantity per shift $=\frac{\text { Working time per shift } X \text { the number of worker }}{\text { Standard total operation time }}$

* Each data can be calculated by applying (Formula 1)


## Number of (Production) Line

## Cutting Section

This is the line where the cutting carries out, where the number of people required cutting for a particular portion and the target production is calculated

## Sewing Section

This is the line where actual sewing operation is made. The number of lines varies depending on item. This calculates an appropriate number of persons per line, and indicates the required number of lines for target production
quantity. When there are multiple lines, another method could be considered, namely automatic machines, fusing press machines, special equipment etc. are treated as common equipment being separate from sewing section. Treating them as common equipment will increase the operation rate of each equipment. This is judged from an appropriate production quantity of each equipment.

## Finishing Section

This is the line where finished products by sewing section are complemented and reformed by supplementary works (removal of thread fray, hand stitching of buttons, hemming...), inspection, off-press, iron etc.

When there are multiple sewing lines, off-press machines and special equipment are common equipment, and finished products may be intensively processed.

## Number of Shift

The number of shifts per day.

## Working Time per Shift

8 Hours are set for working time per shift. ( 8 hours $=480$ minutes)

## Number of Worker

The number of persons required in the sections of sewing line and finishing line. The indirect persons such as supervisors, persons for transportation are not included. The number of persons listed here is calculated subject to the workers who are highly qualified in each work of operation flow.

## From (Formula 1) Formula 2

Production quantity per shift X standard total operation time
The number of workers =

## Operation Time

This is the required total operation time for completing a garment spent in sections in sewing line and finishing line. This time includes time allowances, not including the time of ALT or VAR. TIME ALLOWANCE.....This is the time irregularly spent for the incidents against the regular time spent for product operation during working time, such as arrangement of products, thread change, transportation (transfer) of products, discussion for work, restroom, negligence etc. Unit is minute.

Daily Productivity (Sewing (section) only)
This is the daily productivity by direct sewing operation per worker.
In case the design changes, operation time in operation flow varies. The productivity changes accordingly.
Production quantity per shift
Daily productivity =
Number of sewing (section's) worker

## RESEARCH DESIGN

Project Title "Optimization of man-machine ratio for garment industry"
Statement of Problem: Effective use of machines and manpower is essential in manufacturing of apparel products for ensuring high productivity investment from available resources in today's competitive business environment. It is crucial to establish optimal man-machine ratio to gain high resource utilization and profitable output.

## Objectives

- Optimization of man-machine ratio
- Achieving high productivity investment from available resources
- To gain high resource utilization and profitable output.


## METHODOLOGY

- Study of the productivity of factories.
- Operation breakdown for selective style in manufacturing department so that process flow and manpower requirement for each could be established.
- Comprehensive detailing of manpower requirement for each operation and establishing a standard ratio based on the study
- The comparison would involve the following
- Manpower requirement and Machinery comparisons for each operation
- Operation productivity comparison chart
- Comparison of profitable output on the machines and manpower.
- Analysis of man and machine ratio.
- Determination of optimized man-machine ratio for factories.
- Implementation and Suggestions.


## Scope

To analyze the requirement of the garment industry and suggest the best possible infrastructure in terms of machineries and manpower keeping the productivity in mind, so that the proponent gets the best man - machine ratio. Therefore it has a very good scope of setting up a plant of apparel industry with appropriate man machine ratio.

## Limitations

- Assuming same style is running in the whole factory.
- Optimization is carried out only in the production department.

Type of Research: Descriptive research
Sample Size: Woven industry = 3, Knit's = 3

## Tool for Collection of Data

The collection of data is done through direct interview and telephonic conversation with the concerned people By visiting various Woven \& Knits garment industry

## METHOD OF COLLECTING DATA

Both primary and secondary data is collected.

## Primary Data

During visit to garment industry by observations, the primary data like products process sequence, machines used for particular operation, no of machines, no of operator, skill matrix, learning performance and optimization of man-machine ratio is carried out by using through observation, recording \& collections.

## Secondary Data

- Books, magazines
- Various publications of the central, state \& local governments.
- Reports \& publications of various associations connected with business.


## Method of Analysis

- Comparison with the standard manuals
- Statistical analysis of man and machineries required for the industry.
- Analysis through charts.


## Project Work

## Factory 1

## Company Profile

Table 3

| Industry | ABC industry pvt ltd Unit-10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type | Manufacturing\& Exporting |
| Specialization | Woven's |
| Established | 1978 |
| Annual revenue | 80 million USD |
| Employees | $1000+$ |
| Machine | $500+$ |

Step 1: Calculation of Man-Machine Ratio
Table 4

| Factory | Type | Lines | On Roll <br> Manpower | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ <br> Absenteeism | Sewing <br> Machine | Man: Machine <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABC unit-10 | woven | 9.0 | 1164.0 | 1047.6 | 522.0 | 2.01 |

Step 2: Break up of on - Roll Manpower
Table 5

|  | TIPL-10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Manager | 2 |
| Quality Assurance | 9 |
| Sampling | 8 |
| IE | 9 |
| Trainnee | 9 |
| Dispatch | 7 |
| HR | 10 |
| Fabric | 6 |
| Maintance | 8 |
| Store | 5 |
| Other | 8 |
| Cutting Section | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ |
| Sewing Section | $\mathbf{6 7 0}$ |
| Finishing | $\mathbf{2 8 3}$ |

Step 3: Break up of Production Sector
Table 6

| CUTTING | Number of operators | 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number helpers | 36 |
|  | Number of checkers | 9 |
|  | Number of supervisor | 13.5 |
| SEWING | Number of operators | 441 |
|  | Number helpers | 81 |
|  | Number of ironers | 108 |
|  | Number of checkers | 27 |
|  | Number of supervisor | 13.5 |
| FINISHING | Number of operators | 63 |
|  | Number helpers | 162 |
|  | Number of ironers | 45 |
|  | Number of supervisor | 13.5 |

Step 4: Time Study for Cutting Annexsure-1a
Step 5: Time Study for Sewing Annexsure-1b
Step 6: Time Study for Finishing Annexsure-1c

Step 7: Productivity Calculation for Shirt Manufacturing Sector
Step 7a: Cutting Productivity
Table 7

| Sl. No | CUTTING | TPIL-10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Particulars |  |
|  | Target | 8 |
| 1 | Number of machines | 8 |
| 2 | Number of operators | 4 |
| 3 | Number helpers | 1 |
| 5 | Number of checkers | 1.5 |
| 6 | Number of supervisor | 480 |
| 7 | Duration of work shift |  |


| Table 7: Contd., |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 8 SAM of Shirt (sewing) 1.9 <br> 9 Average daily output (per shift) 700 <br> 10 Operator Productivity 88 <br> 11 Productivity Effeciency /Operator 35 <br> 12 Total Labour Productivity(pcs per shift) 48.3 <br> 13 Machine Productivity 87.5 |  |  |

Step 7b: Sewing Productivity
Table 8

| SI. No | SEWING | TPIL-10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Particulars |  |
| 1 | Target | 49 |
| 2 | Number of machines | 49 |
| 3 | Number of operators | 9 |
| 4 | Number helpers | 12 |
| 5 | Number of ironers | 3 |
| 6 | Number of checkers | 1.5 |
| 7 | Number of supervisor | 480 |
| 8 | Duration of work shift | 30.92 |
| 9 | SAM of Shirt (sewing) | 761 |
| 10 | Average daily output (per shift) | 16 |
| 11 | Operator Productivity | 100 |
| 12 | Total Labour Productivity(pcs per shift) | 10.2 |
| 13 | Machine Productivity | 15.5 |

Step 7c: Finishing Productivity
Table 9

| SI. No | Finishing | TPIL-10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Particulars |  |
| 1 | Number of machines | 7 |
| 2 | Number of operators | 18 |
| 3 | Number helpers | 5 |
| 4 | Number of ironers | 1.5 |
| 6 | Number of supervisor | 480 |
| 7 | Duration of work shift | 4.62 |
| 8 | SAM of Shirt (sewing) | 83 |
| 9 | Average daily output (per shift) | 12 |
| 10 | Operator Productivity | 11 |
| 11 | Productivity Efficiency /Operator | 1.8 |
| 12 | Total Labour Productivity(pcs per shift) | 5.5 |
| 13 | Machine Productivity |  |

## Step 8

- Comparison of Each Operation with JUKI Annexure -1d

Based on SAM, no of MANPOWER, no of MACHINE

Table 10

| SAM | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 9 2 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MANPOWER | 48 | 73 |
| MACHINES | 38 | 49 |
| TARGET | 1200 | 1200 |
| ACHIVED | 1076 | 760 |

Step 9: Implemented Results in ABC-10

- For Allocation of manpower , with the help of skill matrix is carried out -Annexsure-1e
- Few attachments where used like the
- Automatic garment stacker
- Collar folder
- Cuff folder
- Sleeve placket holder
- Automatic pocket seeker
- Results

Table 11

| S. No | SEWING | TPIL-10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Particulars |  |
|  | Target | 40 |
| 1 | Number of machines | 40 |
| 2 | Number of operators | 6 |
| 3 | Number helpers | 0 |
| 4 | Number of ironers | 3 |
| 5 | Number of checkers | 1.5 |
| 6 | Number of supervisor | 480 |
| 7 | Duration of work shift | 23.92 |
| 8 | SAM of Shirt (sewing) | 800 |
| 9 | Average daily output (per shift) | 20 |
| 10 | Operator Productivity | 97 |
| 11 | Productivity Effeciency /Operator | 16 |
| 12 | Total Labour Productivity(pcs per shift) | 20 |
| 13 | Machine Productivity | 20 |

If it can happened for 1 line then for the factory

## IMPLEMENTATION RESULTS

Man: Machine ratio
Table 12

| Factory | Type | Lines | On Roll <br> Manpower | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ <br> Absenteeism | Sewing <br> Machine | Man: Machine <br> Ratio(E/F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABC unit-10 | wovens | 9.0 | 1164.0 | 1047.6 | 522.0 | 2.01 |
| ABC unit-16 | wovens | 9 | 708 | 637.2 | 365 | 1.75 |

Table 13

| Operator Productivity | 16 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Productivity Effeciency /Operator | 100 | 97 |
| Total Labour Productivity(pcs per shift) | 10.2 | 16 |
| Machine Productivity | 16 | 20 |

Factory-2

## Company Profile

Table 14

| Industry | XYZ apparel Pvt,ltd |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type | Manufacturing\& Exporting |
| Specialization | Woven's |
| Annual revenue | 80 million USD |
| Employees | $\mathbf{8 0 0 +}$ |
| Machine | $\mathbf{3 0 0 +}$ |

Step 1: Calculation of Man-Machine Ratio
Table 15

| Factory | Type | Lines | On Roll <br> Manpower | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ <br> Absenteeism | Sewing <br> Machine | Man: Machine <br> Ratio(E/F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XYZ apparels pvt | wovens | 6 | 830 | 747.0 | 320 | 2.33 |

Step 2: Break up of on - Roll Manpower
Table 16

|  | XYZ Apparels <br> Pvt Ltd |
| :---: | :---: |
| Manager | 2 |
| Quality Assurance | 5 |
| Sampling | 10 |
| IE | 10 |
| Trainnee | 10 |
| Dispatch | 5 |
| HR | 10 |
| Fabric | 5 |
| Maintance | 7 |
| Store | 5 |
| other | 8 |
| Cutting Section | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |
| Sewing Section | $\mathbf{4 5 3}$ |
| Finishing | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ |

Step 3: Break up of Production Sector
Table 17

| CUTTING | Number of operators | 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number helpers | 24 |
|  | Number of checkers | 12 |
|  | Number of supervisor | 9 |
| SEWING | Number of operators | 312 |
|  | Number helpers | 72 |
|  | Number of ironers | 30 |
|  | Number of checkers | 30 |
|  | Number of supervisor | 9 |
| FINISHING | Number of operators | 48 |
|  | Number helpers | 114 |
|  | Number of ironers | 30 |
|  | Number of supervisor | 9 |

Step 4: Time Study for Cutting Annexsure-2a
Step 5: Time Study for Cutting Annexsure-2b
Step 6: Time study for Cutting Annexsure-2c
Step 7: Productivity Calculation for Shirt Manufacturing Sector
Step 7a: Cutting Productivity
Table 18

| Sl. No | CUTTING | XYZ Apparels <br> Pvt Ltd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Particulars | $\mathbf{1 2 0 0}$ |
| 1 | TARGET | 9 |
| 2 | Number of machines | 9 |
| 3 | Number of operators | 4.0 |
| 5 | Number helpers | 2.0 |
| 6 | Number of checkers | 1.5 |
| 7 | Number of supervisor | 480 |
| 8 | Duration of work shift | 2.1 |
| 9 | SAM of Shirt (sewing) | 750.0 |
| 10 | Average daily output (per shift) | 83 |
| 11 | Operator Productivity | 36 |
| 12 | Productivity Effeciency /Operator | 45.5 |
| 13 | Total Labour Productivity(pcs per shift) | 83.3 |

Step 7b: Sewing Productivity
Table 19

| Sl. No | SEWING | TPIL-10 | XYZ Apparels <br> Pvt Ltd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Particulars |  | $\mathbf{1 0 4 0}$ |
|  | Target | 49 | 52 |
| 2 | Number of machines | 49 | 52 |
| 3 | Number of operators | 9 | 12.0 |
| 4 | Number helpers | 12 | 5.0 |
| 5 | Number of ironers | 3 | 5.0 |
| 6 | Number of checkers | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 7 | Number of supervisor | 480 | 480 |
| 8 | Duration of work shift | 30.92 | 32.4 |
| 9 | SAM of Shirt (sewing) | 700 | 750 |
| 10 | Average daily output (per shift) | 14 | 14 |
| 11 | Operator Productivity | 92 | 97 |
| 12 | Productivity Effeciency /Operator | 9.4 | 9.9 |
| 13 | Total Labour Productivity(pcs per shift) | 14.3 | 14.4 |

Step 7c: Finishing Productivity
Table 20

| SI No | FINISHING | XYZ <br> Apparels <br> Pvt Ltd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Particulars | 8 |
| 1 | Number of machines | 8 |
| 2 | Number of operators |  |


| Table 20: Contd., |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Number helpers | 19.0 |
| 4 | Number of ironers | 5.0 |
| 6 | Number of supervisor | 1.5 |
| 7 | Duration of work shift | 480 |
| 8 | SAM of Shirt (sewing) | 6.1 |
| 9 | Average daily output (per shift) | 63 |
| 10 | Operator Productivity | 8 |
| 11 | Productivity Effeciency /Operator | 10 |
| 12 | Total Labour Productivity (pcs per shift) | 1.5 |
| 13 | Machine Productivity | 7.9 |

Step 8: Comparison of Each Operation with JUKI

## Comparison of Each Operation with JUKI Annexure -2d

Based on SAM, no of MANPOWER, no of MACHINE
Table 21

| SAM | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MANPOWER | 48 | 75 |
| MACHINE | 38 | 47 |
| TARGET | 1200 | 1200 |
| ACHIVED | 1022 | 747 |

Step 9: Suggestion

- For Allocation of manpower, with the help of skill matrix is carried out -Annexsure-2e
- Few attachments where used like the
- Use of Automatic garment stacker
- Use of Collar folder
- Use of Cuff folder
- Use of Sleeve placket holder
- Use of Automatic pocket seeker
- Use of Proper allocation of manpower
- Use of Training program for operators
- Used of Motivation for the operators like the incentive schemes based on the performance
- Use of barcode system to track the product and also operator productivity can be traced easily
- Use of comfortable ergonomically designed chairs for the operators
- Playing of pleasant music after the lunch break
- Education about the product, work
- If they face some injustice then there should be a suggestion box to drop or counseling should be carried out every month during the leisure time

If it can reduce in the 1 line then in the whole factory
Table 22

| Operator Productivity | 14 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Productivity Effeciency /Operator | 97 | 104 |
| Total Labour Productivity(pcs per shift) | 9.9 | 14.2 |
| Machine Productivity | 14.4 | 20.0 |

Table 23

| Factory | Type | Lines | On Roll <br> Manpower | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ <br> Absenteeism | Sewing <br> Machine | Man: Machine <br> Ratio(E/F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XYZ | wovens | 6 | 830 | 747.0 | 320 | 2.33 |
| XYZ | wovens | 6 | 615 | 553.5 | 320 | 1.73 |

## CONCLUSIONS

For Allocation of manpower, with the help of skill matrix is carried out Few attachments where used like the Use of Automatic garment stacker, Use of Collar folder, Use of Cuff folder, Use of Sleeve placket holder, Use of Automatic pocket seeker, Use of Proper allocation of manpower, Use of Training program for operators ,Used of Motivation for the operators like the incentive schemes based on the performance, Use of barcode system to track the product and also operator productivity can be traced easily and few thinks like Use of comfortable ergonomically designed chairs for the operators, Playing of pleasant music after the lunch break, Education about the product ,work, If they face some injustice then there should be a suggestion box to drop or counseling should be carried out every month during the leisure time. By doing the above things the operator productivity increase approximately by $1 / 4 \%$, Productivity Efficiency /Operator by $1 \%$, Total Labor Productivity (pcs per shift) by $1.4 \%$ and machine Productivity by $1.4 \%$ and overall man machine ratio reduced from 2.33 to 1.73
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